

# Index

NOTE: **Boldface** indicates illustrations and code; *t* indicates a table.

## A

Active Energy Manager, 177–178, **178**  
activity redistribution. *See* redistributing activities  
Advanced Micro Devices (AMD), 33, 35  
aggregation as virtualization, 12, **13**  
agility of IT, 106  
AIX, 111, 158, 257, 259, 263  
*Aligning Platform and Service Management with IBM Systems Director and Tivoli Solution*, 177  
Amazon, 43  
Amdahl, 32  
American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE), 58  
Apache Derby, 259  
application clustering, 123–125, **124**  
application factors, 114  
application scorecard, 118–120, 119*t*, 120*t*  
application surveys, 114, 116–118, 117–118*t*  
application virtualization, 221, 223  
architectural governance, 143–146, **145**  
architectural standards team, 192–193  
Architecture Management Framework, 144–146, **145**  
Architecture Management Group (AMG), 145–146  
asset management, 227, 263  
Athlon 64, 33  
auditing, cloud computing and, 43

automation, non-value-added work/waste reduction and, 203–204  
Availability Process Manager in, 262

## B

backup and recovery, standard operations following virtualization and, 220  
Bahrs, Peter, 86  
bandwidth issues, 46  
benefits of virtualization, 2–3, 11–14. *See also* cost-benefit analysis  
Big Bang implementation approach, 238–240, **238**  
“Big Green,” 49–50  
binary migration, 112  
BladeCenter, 257  
Brocade, 260  
Brown, Kyle, 86  
business case for virtualization, 241–255. *See also* cost-benefit analysis  
delta business case for, 249–250, **249**, 254, **255**  
examples of, 251–254  
fundamentals in, 242–251  
lease vs. buy decision and, 250–251, **250**  
net present value (NPV) in, 245  
new line of business investment and, 242–246, **243**, **246**

- new machine investment and, 246–250, **247**, **248**
- present value in, 244
- servers example in, 251–254, **252**, **253**, **254**

Business Continuity and Recovery Services (BCRS), 49

Business Continuity Process Manager, 262

business factors, in migration/transformation, 114

business service virtualization, 222, 224

## C

Cambridge Monitor System (CMS), 32

Cambridge Scientific Center, 32

capability maturity model (CMM), cloud computing, 43

capacity management, 152, 157–159, 190–191

- Distributed Resource Scheduler (DRS) in, 161
- forecasting need in, 160–161
- peak vs. average sizing for, 158–159
- performance management in, to mitigate supply/demand mismatch, 160
- reducing incoming hardware/work and, 190–191
- reports on, 191–192

Capacity Process Manager, 262

center of excellence (CoE), in virtualization transformation deployment, 232–233

certification, cloud computing and, 43

challenges of virtualization, 26–31

change agents, 234

Change and Configuration Management Database (CCMDB), 261, 262

Change Process Manager, 262

Chen, Gang, 86

CIMS Lab, 263

Cisco Nexus 100V, 39

Cisco Systems, 260

cloud computing, 40–44, **40**

- audits and certifications with, 43
- benefits of, 41–42
- capability maturity model (CMM) for, 43
- challenges to, 42–43
- disruptive influence of, 42
- federation in, 43
- human element in, 43

- Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS) and, 41
- licensing and tracking apps in, 43
- Platform as a Service (PaaS) and, 41
- scalability and responsiveness in, 42
- security, resilience, and, 42
- Software as a Service (SaaS) and, 41
- tech support for, 43

Cloudburst, 36–37

clustering, 12, 23

- of applications, 123–125, **124**

clustering analysis, 124–125, **124**

Common Agent, 259

complexity of IT conditions, 10–11

complexity, segmenting. *See* segmenting complexity

compliance, 263

computer room air conditioners (CRACs), 60. *See also* cooling issues

concept of virtualization, 11–12, **11**

Configuration Process Manager, 262

Containers (Solaris), 21

context, virtualization template for, 86–88, 90, 107, 134, 152–153, 166, 183–184, 199, 217

Control Program (CP), 32

cooling issues, 58, 60

- computer room air conditioners (CRACs) and, 60

corporate finance team, reducing incoming hardware/work and, 193

cost-benefit analysis, 2–3, 61–62, 125–129, 125. *See also* business case for virtualization

- applying model in, 127
- building a model for, 126–127
- equation used in, 126
- example of, using predictive model, 128–129, **128t**, **129**
- LINEST function in, 128
- maintaining/improving the model in, 127, **127**
- pooled resources and, 150, 162–163
- predictive analysis model in, 125–126, **125**
- in segmenting complexity, 110

CPU virtualization, 48

crisis in IT, 7–11

critical success factors (CSFs), in segmenting complexity, 104

CUoD, 31

custom migration, 113–114

## D

data centers. *See* green data centers

Data Security Standard (PCI), 43

day-to-day activities, non-value-added work/waste reduction and, 212–213, **213**

DB2, 259

DB2 pureScale, 23

decision trees, for migration/transformation, 114, **115**, 116

delta business case for virtualization, 249–250, **249**, 254, **255**

Deming, W. Edwards, 66

deployment. *See* virtualization transformation deployment

diagnosing issues for virtualization, 55–56, 59

diagnostic phase in virtualization transformation deployment, 236–237

disposal of old equipment due to virtualization, 48–49

Distributed Object Model (DCOM), 259

Distributed Power Management (DPM), 178–179, **179**

Distributed Resource Scheduler (DRS), 161, 224

divide and conquer strategy, waste reduction and, 202–203

DLPAR, 31

Dynacache, 94

dynamic energy management, 177–179, **178**, **179**.

*See also* energy consumption

Active Energy Manager in, 177–178, **178**

Distributed Power Management (DPM) in, 178–179, **179**

Dynamic Infrastructure model, 51, 52–54, **52**

dynamic resource provisioning, 173–176, **175**, **177**.

*See also* resource management

Dynamic Resource Scheduler (DRS) in, 175–176, **175**

Tivoli Provisioning Manager (TPM) in, 174–175

Xsigo Systems technology for, 176, **177**

Dynamic Resource Scheduler (DRS), 175–176, **175**

dynamic workload mobility, 170–173, **171**, **172**, **173**.

*See also* workload management

Hyper-V Live Migration by Microsoft in, 171

Integrated Virtualization Manager (IVM) for, 171

Live Partition Mobility by IBM in, 171

VMotion by VMware in, 171, 172, **173**

## E

efficiency improvement and virtualization, 61, 209

elements of lean transformation, 69–72, **69**

emulation as virtualization, 12–13, **13**

encapsulation as virtualization, 13

end-to-end services management, in standard operations following virtualization, 225–227

energy consumption issues, 6, 263

Active Energy Manager in, 177–178, **178**

American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) and, 58

cooling issues and, 58, 60

data centers and, 46, 47–49, **47**, 59, 60, 62

Distributed Power Management (DPM) in, 178–179, **179**

dynamic energy management in, 177–179, **178**, **179**

Dynamic Infrastructure model for, **52**, 53

Energy Star Rating and, 54

Green Grid organization and, 55

pooled resources and, 162–163

Power Usage Effectiveness (PUE) and, 55–56, 59, 60, 163

Energy Star Rating for Data Centers, 54

engineering issues

American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) and, 58

infrastructure, issues in, 9–10, **9**

enterprise resource planning (ERP), 262

Enterprise Workload Manager, 262

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 54

equation for cost-benefit analysis, 126

ESX, 32, 35, 257

Ethernet, 39–40

European Union Code of Conduct for Data Centers, 54  
executive steering committee, 138–140, **138**, 232  
expanding role of virtualization, 15, **15**

## F

factory approach to migration/transformation, 121–123, **122**  
factory model for virtualization, 109  
federation, cloud computing and, 43  
Fibre Channel, 39–40  
Fibre Channel over Ethernet (FCoE), 39–40  
Fibre Channel SAN Architecture, 20  
financial benefits of virtualization. *See* business case for virtualization; cost–benefit of virtualization  
financial management, 263  
flexible resource balancing, 166–180  
    client scenario in, 166  
    context in, 166  
    dynamic energy management, 177–179, **178**, **179**  
        Active Energy Manager in, 177–178, **178**  
        Distributed Power Management (DPM) in, 178–179, **179**  
    dynamic resource provisioning in, 173–176, **175**, **177**  
        Dynamic Resource Scheduler (DRS) in, 175–176, **175**  
        Tivoli Provisioning Manager (TPM) in, 174–175  
        Xsigo Systems technology for, 176, **177**  
    dynamic workload mobility in, 170–173, **171**, **172**, **173**  
        Hyper-V Live Migration by Microsoft in, 171  
        Integrated Virtualization Manager (IVM) for, 171  
        Live Partition Mobility by IBM in, 171  
        VMotion by VMware in, 171, 172, **173**  
forces in, 168–169  
lean transformation and, 80–81  
name and value statement sections in, 166  
non-value-added work/waste reduction and, 206  
pattern for, 166–179  
problem statement in, 167–168

selection guidance in, 169–170  
servers and, 167–168  
solution in, 170

Flexible Service Processors (FSPs), 259  
forces, virtualization template and, 88, 91–92, 108, 136–137, 156, 168–169, 185–187, 200, 219–220  
Ford, 66  
forecasting need, in capacity management, 160–161  
future-state design (FSD) phase, in virtualization transformation deployment, 237

## G

Geographically Dispersed Parallel Sysplex (GDPS), 263  
global context of virtualization, 3  
“going green,” 47–49  
Google, 43  
Google App Engine, 41  
green data centers and virtualization, 45–63, 263.  
    *See also* virtualization transformation deployment  
    bandwidth issues and, 46  
    building new, 56–57, 59  
    Business Continuity and Recovery Services (BCRS) and, 49  
    cooling issues and, 58, 60  
    CPU utilization and, 48  
    diagnosing issues in, 55–56, 59  
    disposal of old equipment due to virtualization and, 48–49  
    Dynamic Infrastructure model for, 51, 52–54, **52**  
    efficiency improvement and, 61  
    energy consumption and, 46, 47–49, **47**, 59, 60, 62  
    Energy Star Rating for Data Centers and, 54  
    European Union Code of Conduct for Data Centers and, 54  
    financial benefits of, 61–62  
    five building blocks of, 55–59  
    IBM data center expertise and “Big Green,” 49–50  
    IBM Global Account (IGA) workload decreases and, 49–50, 49*t*  
    Information Technology Delivery (ITD) and, 49

Information Technology Information Library (ITIL) and, 50–51, 58  
 integrating effects of IT changes on, 162–163  
 measure and manage in, 58–59, 60  
 modular growth of, 162  
 New Enterprise Data Center (NEDC) model for, 51, 57  
 new models for efficient delivery from, 50–55  
 opportunities for, 47–49  
 Power Usage Effectiveness (PUE) and, 55–56, 59, 60  
 security and, 46  
 Smarter Planet model for, 51, 54–56  
 successive transformation steps in, 62–63  
 Systems and Technology Group (STG) and, 49  
 transformation of, case study in, 59–60  
 virtualization and, 57, 59–60  
 volume of data, 46  
 Green Grid, 55  
 group transformations, 120–125  
 GSX, 32

## H

HA/DR, 38  
 hardware, 21–22, 181  
   dynamic workload mobility and, 171, **171**  
   forecasting needs for, 193  
 Hardware Management Console (HMC), 259  
 Hardware Resource Management (HRM)  
   program, 181–196, **183**, 223–224. *See also* reducing incoming hardware/work  
   reducing incoming hardware/work  
 idle hardware asset depot in, 192  
 lease vs. buy decision and, 250–251, **250**  
 new machine investment and, business case for virtualization, 246–250, **247**, **248**  
 partitioning, 16–17  
 processing a purchase request using HRM, 194–195, **194**  
 reducing incoming, 181–196. *See also* reducing incoming hardware/work  
 standardization of, 187, 192–193  
 Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), 43, 46

Hewlett-Packard, 33, 34, 111  
 High Availability (HA), 29–30, 259, 262–263  
 High Availability Clustered Multiprocessing (HACMP), 263  
 HIPAA. *See* Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA)  
 HiperSockets, 39  
 history of virtualization, 31–33  
*How Toyota Became No. 1* (Magee), 66  
 HP Integrity VM, 34  
 HP-UX, 33  
 Hyper-V, 33, 36  
 Hyper-V Live Migration, 171  
 hypervisors, 16–19, 32, 33, 34, 257  
   bare-metal, 17–19, 19*t*  
   hosted, 17–19, 19*t*  
   IBM POWER Hypervisor, 18  
   security and, 27–29, **27**  
   Xen. *See* Xen hypervisor

## I

I/O adapter virtualization, 19–20  
 IBM, 43  
 IBM Global Account (IGA) workload decreases, 49–50, 49*t*  
 IBM i operating system, 257  
 IBM Integrated Virtualization Management Toolset, 257–264  
   IBM Systems Director in, 257–260, 258–259*t*, **261**  
   Tivoli Solutions in, 260–264, 264*t*  
*IBM PowerVM Live Partition Mobility* (Bailey, Prokop, Somers, Vetter), 171  
 IBM Service Management (ISM), 224, 225–227, **225**, **226**  
 IBM Systems Director, 257–260, 258–259*t*, **261**  
 idle hardware asset depot in, 192  
 implementation of virtualization. *See* virtualization transformation deployment  
 implementation phase of virtualization, 237–240, **238**  
 inflexibility vs. lean transformation, 65  
 Information on Demand (IOD), 51

Information Systems Management (ISM), 51  
Information Technology Delivery (ITD), 49  
Information Technology Information Library (ITIL), 50–51, 58  
Information Technology. *See* IT and virtualization  
infrastructure issues, 7–9, **7**, **8**, 102, 221  
    architectural standards team for, 192–193  
    engineering principles violations and, 9–10, **9**  
    IT infrastructure support team for, 190  
    labor-intensiveness of, 7  
    lean transformation and, 82, 181–196. *See also*  
        reducing incoming hardware/work  
    lease vs. buy decision and, 250–251, **250**  
    new machine investment and, business case for  
        virtualization, 246–250, **247**, **248**  
    optimizing, for non-value-added work/waste  
        reduction and, 206  
    processing a hardware request using HRM,  
        194–195, **194**  
    resource management and, 7–9, **7**  
    in segmenting complexity, 103–104  
    standardization in, 187, 192–193  
Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS), 41  
insulation as virtualization, 13, **13**  
Integrated Virtualization Manager (IVM), 171, 259  
Integrity Management, 28  
Integrity Virtual Machines (IVM), 33, 34  
Intel, 33, 35  
intellectual waste control, 77–78, 98  
inventory control, 76  
iSCSI, 13  
iSeries V5R1, 32  
Isolation Management, 28  
IT and virtualization, 5–44, 221–222, 224  
    architectural governance in, 143–146, **145**  
    Architecture Management Framework in,  
        144–146, **145**  
    Architecture Management Group (AMG) in,  
        145–146  
    challenges introduced by, 26–31  
    cloud computing and, 40–44, **40**  
    complexity and, increases in, 10–11  
    crisis in, 7–11

    energy consumption issues and, 6  
    expanding role of, 15, **15**  
    fully virtualized, 14, **14**  
    hardware and, 21–22  
    I/O adapters and, 19–20  
    increasing agility of IT in, 106  
    individual resources in, virtualization of, 16–22  
    infrastructure issues and, 7–9, **7**, **8**, 9–10, **9**  
    IT infrastructure support team for, 190  
    lean transformation and, 68  
    middleware and, 21–22  
    networks and, 20, 39–40. *See also* network  
        virtualization  
    operating systems and, 21–22  
    optimizing IT resource use in, 106  
    pooled resources and, 150–151  
    power of virtualization in, 11–14  
    problems facing IT and, 5–6  
    progressive advances in, 14–26, **26**  
    reducing incoming hardware/work and,  
        192–193  
    resiliency issues and, 6, 29–30  
    resource pools and, 15, 22–24  
    security and, 6, 27–29, **27**, **28**  
    servers and, 16–19, **18**, 19*t*, 31–37. *See also*  
        servers  
    service level expectations for, 105  
    simplification through, 25–26, 105  
    storage and, 20–21, 37–38. *See also* storage  
        virtualization  
    system stack integration and, 30–31  
    team consolidation for, 140–142, **141**  
    technological advances and, 6  
    virtual resource objects and, 15, 24–25  
    volume of data generated for/by IT and, 5  
IT Optimization (ITO), 51

## J

Java 2 Platform Enterprise Edition (J2EE), 262  
Java Requester Side Implementation, 94  
Java Virtual Machines (JVMs), 21, 30–31  
Jobson, Thomas Jr., 86  
joint governance model, 139–140, **140**

*Journey to Lean* (Drew, McCallum, Rogenhoffer), 69

Just-in-Time (JIT) principles, 67, 74

## K

Kernel-based Virtual Machine (KVM), 33, 36

key performance indicators (KPIs), in segmenting complexity, 104

known uses, virtualization template and, 94

## L

leadership in virtualization, 3

lean levers, 78–84, **78**

virtualization template and, classifying patterns along, 94–99, **95**

*Lean Thinking* (Womack, Jones), 68

lean transformation, 65–84

applications of, 67, **67**

elements of, 69–72, **69**

flexible resource balancing in, 80–81, 166–180

history of, 66–67

inflexibility vs., 65

infrastructure and, 82, 181–196. *See also* reducing incoming hardware/work

intellectual waste control in, 77–78, 98

inventory control in, 76

IT and, 68

Just-in-Time (JIT) principles and, 67, 74

lean levers for, 78–84, **78**

loss identification in, 65–66

management and, 71–72

mindsets, behaviors, capabilities (MBC) in, 69, **69**, 72

motion control in, 75, 97

non-value-added work reduction and, 82–83, 99, 197–214

operating system in, 70–71

overprocessing control in, 77

overproduction control in, 73–74

pooled resources in, 80, 149–163. *See also*

pooled resources

redistributing activities in, 79, 99, 131–147. *See also* redistributing activities

reducing incoming hardware/work in, 82, 181–196. *See also* reducing incoming hardware/work

rework control in, 77, 97

Rhythm and Blues groups in, 80

segmenting complexity in, 78–79, 98. *See also* segmenting complexity

standardization of operations and, 83–84

Toyota and, 65, 66–67

Toyota Production System (TPS) and, 66–67

transportation control in, 75–76, 97

value streams in, 70–71, 72–78

variability control and, 65

waiting time control in, 74–75, 97

waste control in, 65, 72–78, **73**, 97

lease vs. buy decision, business case for virtualization and, 250–251, **250**

leveraging virtualization management tools, 228–229, 229*t*

Licence Metric Tool, 263

licensing, cloud computing and, 43

Lift-and-Load implementation approach, **238**, 239

like-for-like migration, 113

Lindeque, Pieter, 86

LINEST function, 128

Linkage Editor, 25

Linux, 32, 33, 257, 259, 263

server utilization of, 8

Xen hypervisor and, 36

Live Partition Mobility, 171

Logic Domains (LDMs), 33, 34–35

logical partition (LPAR), 16, 32, 34, 259

loss identification, for lean transformation, 65–66

low-value, day-to-day activities, non-value-added work/waste reduction and, 212–213, **213**

LPAR. *See* logical partitioning

## M

mainframe virtualization, 33–34

Management Console, 259

management issues, 58–60

architectural governance in, 143–146, **145**

- Architecture Management Framework in, 144–146, **145**
  - Architecture Management Group (AMG) in, 145–146
  - center of excellence (CoE) in, 232–233
  - common measurement systems as, 146–147
  - executive steering committees and, 138–140, **138**, 232
  - joint governance model for, 139–140, **140**
  - lean transformation and, 71–72
  - resistance to change and, 136–137, 185–187
  - standard operations following virtualization and, 219–220
  - team consolidation for, 140–142, **141**
  - Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), 32
  - Maximo, 263
  - measurement issues, 58–59, 60
    - common system for, 146–147
    - reducing incoming hardware/work and, reporting in, 193–194
  - memory, 31
  - memory keys, 31
  - memory sharing, 31
  - Micro-Partitioning, 34
  - Micromuse, 261
  - Microsoft, 33, 36, 43, 171, 262
  - Microsoft Virtual Server, 257
  - middleware virtualization, 21–22
  - migrations and transformations, 110–120
    - application clustering in, 123–125, **124**
    - application factors in deciding on, 114
    - application scorecard in deciding on, 118–120, 119*t*, 120*t*
    - application surveys in deciding on, 114, 116–118, 117–118*t*
    - binary migration in, 112
    - business factors in deciding on, 114
    - choosing path for, 114–116, **115**
    - custom migration in, 113–114
    - decision trees in deciding on, 114, **115**, 116
    - factory approach to, 121–123, **122**
    - group transformations in, 120–125
    - Integrated Virtualization Manager (IVM) for, 171
    - like-for-like migration in, 113
    - options for, 110–111, 112*t*
    - physical-to-virtual (P2V), 23
    - technical factors in deciding on, 115
    - Tivoli Application Dependency Discovery Manager (TADDM) in, 114
  - mindsets, behaviors, capabilities (MBC), 69, **69**, 72
  - mirroring, 31
  - motion control, 75, 97
  - Multiple Domain Facility (MDF), 32
- ## N
- N\_Port ID Virtualization (NPIV), 20
  - name section, virtualization template and, 87, 90, 106–107, 133, 151, 166, 182, 198, 216
  - .NET, 41
  - net present value (NPV), in business case for virtualization, 245
  - Netcool/Precision, 263
  - Network Attached Storage (NAS), 154
  - network virtualization, 20, 39–40, 263
    - binding in, 39
    - emulation in, 39–40
    - Fibre Channel over Ethernet (FCoE) and, 39–40
    - HiperSockets and, 39
    - LPAR and, 39
    - outsourcing for, 142
    - sharing in, 39
  - new construction and virtualization, 56–57, 59
  - New Enterprise Data Center (NEDC) model, 51, 57
  - new line of business investment, business case for virtualization and, 242–246, **243**, **246**
  - new machine investment, business case for virtualization and, 246–250, **247**, **248**
  - new models for efficient delivery from data centers, 50–55
  - new processes, tools, capabilities, non-value-added work/waste reduction and, 205
  - non-value-added work/waste reduction, 99, 197–214
    - automation in, 203–204
    - benefits of, 197–198
    - client scenario for, 198
    - context in, 199



divide and conquer strategy for, 202–203  
 flexible resource balancing in, 206  
 forces in, 200  
 kinds of waste in, 197  
 lean transformation and, 82–83  
 low-value, day-to-day activities and, 212–213, **213**  
 name and value statement sections in, 198–199  
 new processes, tools, capabilities in, 205  
 new services and, leveraging virtualization for, 207–208  
 one-time task acceleration in, 209–211, **210, 211**  
 operational efficiency and, 209  
 optimizing infrastructure for, 206  
 pattern for, 198–208  
 purchasing decisions and, 199  
 redistributing activities and, 206  
 reengineering in, 205  
 reusable templates in, 203–204  
 segmenting complexity and, 202–203  
 selection guidance in, 200  
 solution in, 200–208  
 staffing model for, 206–207  
 standardizing IT processes and control for, 201–202  
 VMware study findings on virtualization and, 208–213, **210, 211, 212, 213**  
 workload consolidation/virtualization in, 204  
 Nortel Networks, 260

## O

ObjectGrid, 94  
 Omnibus, 261  
 one-time task acceleration, 209–211, **210, 211**  
 operating systems, 21–22
 

- binary migration in, 112
- custom migration in, 113–114
- lean transformation and, 70–71
- like-for-like migration in, 113
- one-time task acceleration in, 211, **211**

 operational efficiency, 209  
*Operational Impact of Virtualization in the Datacenter, The* (Chowdhury, Carfantan), 208

optimizing infrastructure, 206  
 optimizing IT resource use, 106  
 Oracle, 259  
 order fulfillment team, in reducing incoming hardware/work, 193  
 organizational structure
 

- organizational silos vs. virtualization, 135, **135**
- redistributing activities and, resistance to. *See* redistributing activities
- reducing hardware and, resistance to, 185–186. *See also* reducing incoming hardware/work
- virtualization and, VMware Virtualization study and, 209

 outsourcing, network, 142  
 overprocessing control, lean transformation and, 77  
 overproduction control, lean transformation and, 73–74  
 OVF, 25

## P

Parallel Sysplex, 263  
 paravirtualizations, 32  
 partitioning, hardware, 16–17  
 pattern specification, virtualization template and, 86–89  
 patterns in virtualization template, using lean levers, 94–99, **95**  
 Payment Card Industry (PCI), 43  
 peak vs. average sizing for capacity management, 158–159  
 Pentium, 33  
 performance management, to mitigate supply/demand mismatch, 160  
 physical-to-virtual (P2V) migration, 23  
 planning phase for virtualization, 231–235  
 Platform Agent, 259  
 Platform as a Service (Paas), 41  
 policy-based pooled resources, 161  
 Polozoff, Alexandre, 86  
 pooled resources, 149–163, 149
 

- benefits of, client scenario for, 150–151
- capacity management and, 152, 157–159

- context in, 152–153
- cost-benefit analysis and, 150, 162–163
- Distributed Resource Scheduler (DRS) in, 161
- energy consumption and, 162–163
- forces in, 156, 156
- forecasting need for, 160–161
- in lean transformations, 80
- integrating effects of IT changes on data center and, 162–163
- name and value statement sections in, 151–152
- network attached storage (NAS) and, 154
- pattern for, 151–163
- peak vs. average sizing for capacity management in, 158–159
- performance management in, to mitigate supply/demand mismatch, 160
- physical and virtual resource capacity management in, 157–158
- policy-based guidance for, 161
- power usage effectiveness (PUE) and, 163
- problem statement in, 153–156, **153**
- resource pools in, 151
- right-sizing (adjusting) pooled resources in, 159–160
- selection guidance in, 157
- solution in, 157–163
- storage area networks (SANs) and, 154
- value of, 149–150
- workload usage graph to guide, 153–156, **153**

POWER, 257, 259

POWER Hypervisor, 18, 33, 34

Power Usage Effectiveness (PUE), 55–56, 59, 60

- pooled resources and, 163

POWER5, 34

POWER6, 34

PowerPC, 32

PowerVM, 34, 37, 257, 259

PR/SM, 32

- predictive analysis model, 125–126, **125**
- predictive model of cost-benefits, 128–129, 128*t*, **129**

present value, in business case for virtualization and, 244

- problem statement, 86, 88, 90–91, 107–108, 134–135, **135**, 153–156, **153**, 167–168, 184, 199, 218
- Process Reference Model for IT (PRM-IT), 226
- processing a hardware request using HRM, 194–195, **194**
- processor folding, 31
- Processor Resource/Systems Manager (PR/SM), 33–34
- processor value unit (PVU), 263
- progressive advances in virtualization, 14–26, **26**
- Project Multics (MIT), 32
- project scheduling, cluster analysis in, 124–125, **124**
- Prove-and-Grow implementation approach, 238–240, **238**

## Q

- Qlogic, 260
- quality management, 227

## R

- Rahim (Toward a Theory of Managing Organization Conflict), 136–137
- RAID, 12, 260
- recycling of old equipment, 48–49
- Red Hat Enterprise Linux (RHEL), 257, 259
- redistributing activities, 131–147
  - architectural governance in, 143–146, **145**
  - Architecture Management Framework in, 144–146, **145**
  - Architecture Management Group (AMG) in, 145–146
  - common measurement systems in, 146–147
  - context in, 134
  - executive steering committee role in, 138–140, **138**
  - forces in, 136–137
  - joint governance model for, 139–140, **140**
  - lean transformation and, 79, 99
  - name and value statement sections in, 133–134
  - need for, using client scenario, 131–133
  - non-value-added work/waste reduction and, 206
  - organizational silos vs. virtualization in, 135, **135**
  - pattern for, 133–147

- problem statement in, 134–135, **135**
- resistance to change and, 136–137
- selection guidance in, 137
- solution in, 137–147
- team consolidation for, 140–142, **141**
- reducing incoming hardware/work
  - analyst team for, 189
  - architectural standards team for, 192–193
  - capacity management process in, 190–191
  - client scenario in, 182
  - context in, 183–184
  - corporate finance team in, 193
  - cultural and organizational challenges to, 185–186
  - decision tree to guide, 189, **189**
  - forces in, 185–187
  - hardware forecasting and vendor relations in, 193
  - Hardware Resource Management (HRM)
    - program for, 181–196, **183**, 223–224
  - idle hardware asset depot in, 192
  - information needed to undertake, 186
  - IT governance of, 192–193
  - IT infrastructure support team for, 190
  - lean transformation and, 82, 181–196
  - management support for, 186
  - measurements reporting in, 193–194
  - name and value statement sections in, 182
  - order fulfillment team in, 193
  - pattern for, 182–194
  - problem statement in, 184, **184**
  - processing a hardware request in, using HRM, 194–195, **194**
  - purchasing decisions and, 184, **184**
  - relationships among teams and processes in, 188, **188**
  - reports in, capacity management, 191–192
  - scope of HRM program in, managing, 187
  - selection guidance in, 185
  - server and storage capacity request tool for, 190
  - services capability vs. physical IT components in, 181
  - solution in, 187–194, **188**
  - staffing considerations and, 187
  - standardization of hardware and, 187, 192–193
- reengineering, for non-value-added work/waste reduction, 205
- Refresh-and-Build implementation approach, **238**, 239
- Release Process Manager, 262
- Remote Supervisor Adapter II (RSA II), 260
- requestor-side cache, 92–94, **93**
- resiliency issues, 6, 29–30
  - cloud computing and, 42
  - high availability (HA) software and, 29–30
  - resource pools and, 24
- resistance to change, 136–137, 185–187
- resource balancing. *See* flexible resource balancing
- resource management, 7–9, **7**. *See also* infrastructure
  - dynamic resource provisioning in, 173–176, **175**, **177**
  - Dynamic Resource Scheduler (DRS) in, 175–176, **175**
  - Hardware Resource Management (HRM)
    - program in, 181–196, 223–224
  - idle hardware asset depot in, 192
  - Tivoli Provisioning Manager (TPM) in, 174–175
  - Xsigo Systems technology for, 176, **177**
- resource pooling. *See* pooled resources
- resource pools, 15, 22–24, 151
  - benefits of, 23–24
  - components of, 22–23
  - limitations of, 24
  - resiliency and, 24
  - security and, 24
- responsiveness, cloud computing and, 42
- reusable templates, for non-value-added work/waste reduction, 203–204
- rework control, 77, 97
- Rhythm and Blues groups, in lean transformation, 80
- right-sizing (adjusting) pooled resources, 159–160
- Ring 0–3 and virtualization, 35
- risk, 263
- RSCImplementation, 94

**S**

- SAN Volume Controller (SVC), 21, 37–38, 206, 260, 263
- Sarbanes-Oxley Act, 43
- scalability, cloud computing and, 42
- Scale-out File System (SoFS), 37, 38
- scope, standard operations following virtualization and, 225–227, **225**
- scorecard, application, 118–120, 119*t*, 120*t*
- SCSI, 13
- Secure Shell (SSH), 259
- security, 6, 27–29, **27**, **28**, 263
  - cloud computing and, 42
  - data centers and, 46
  - hypervisors and, 27–29, **27**
  - Integrity Management and, 28
  - Isolation Management and, 28
  - resource pools and, 24
  - standard operations following virtualization and, 220
  - Trusted Virtual Data Center (TVDC) in, 28–29, **28**
  - Trusted Virtualization Domain in, 29
- segmenting complexity, 78–79, 98, 101–130
  - context in, 107
  - cost-benefit analysis, 110, 125–129
    - applying model in, 127
    - building a model for, 126–127
    - equation used in, 126
    - example of, using predictive model, 128–129, 128*t*, **129**
    - LINEST function in, 128
    - maintaining/improving the model in, 127, **127**
    - predictive analysis model in, 125–126, **125**
  - critical success factors (CSFs) in, 104
  - factory model in, 109
  - forces in, 108
  - goals of, 110–111
  - increasing agility of IT in, 106
  - infrastructure and, 103–104
  - key performance indicators (KPIs) in, 104
  - migrations and transformations for, 110–120
    - application clustering in, 123–125, **124**
    - application factors in deciding on, 114
    - application scorecard in deciding on, 118–120, 119*t*, 120*t*
    - application surveys in deciding on, 114, 116–118, 117–118*t*
    - binary migration in, 112
    - business factors in deciding on, 114
    - choosing path for, 114–116, **115**
    - custom migration in, 113–114
    - decision trees in deciding on, 114, **115**, 116
    - factory approach to, 121–123, **122**
    - group transformations in, 120–125
    - like-for-like migration in, 113
    - options for, 110–111, 112*t*
    - technical factors in deciding on, 115
    - Tivoli Application Dependency Discovery Manager (TADDM) in, 114
  - name section in, 106
  - non-value-added work/waste reduction and, 202–203
  - optimizing IT resource use in, 106
  - pattern for, 106–110
  - problem statement in, 107–108
  - scenario for, 103–106
  - selection guidance in, 109
  - servers and, 104–105
  - service level expectations for IT and, 105
  - simplifying IT environment in, 105
  - solution in, 109–110
  - strategic objectives (SOs) in, 104
  - value statement in, 107
- selection guidance template, 88, 91, 109, 137, 157, 169–170, 185, 200, 219
- servers, 16–19, **18**, 19*t*, 31–37
  - business case for virtualization and, 251–254, **252**, **253**, **254**
  - capacity request tool for, 190
  - flexible resource balancing and, 167–168
  - hardware partitioning in, 16–17
  - history of virtualization for, 31–33
  - hypervisors and, 16–19
    - bare-metal, 17–19, 19*t*
    - hosted, 17–19, 19*t*
  - Kernel-based Virtual Machine (KVM), 36

- logical partitioning in, 16
- mainframes, 33–34
- Microsoft Hyper-V, 36
- network attached storage (NAS) and, 154
- one-time task acceleration in, 209–211, **210**, **211**
- peak vs. average sizing for capacity management in, 158–159
- performance management and, to mitigate supply/demand mismatch, 160
- physical and virtual resource capacity management in, 157–158
- pooled resources and, 149–150
- POWER Hypervisor, 18
- right-sizing (adjusting) pooled resources for, 159–160
- in segmenting complexity, 104–105
- standard operations following virtualization and, 219–220, 223
- storage area networks (SANs) and, 154
- Unix, 34
- utilization of, Windows and Linux, 8
- virtual machines as, 16
- WebSphere Application Server Hypervisor Edition and, 37
- WebSphere Cloudburst and, 36–37
- workload management in. *See* workload management
- workload usage graph to guide resource pooling for, 153–156, **153**
- x86, 35–36
- Xen, 36
- service asset management in, 227
- Service Level Advisor, 261
- service management foundation, standard operations following virtualization and, 226
- service monitoring in, 227
- Service Oriented Architecture (SOA), 51, 262
- service provisioning in, 227
- service quality management, 227
- service request management in, 227
- service level expectations for IT, in segmenting complexity, 105
- sharing as virtualization, 12, **13**
- Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP), 259
- simplification through virtualization, 25–26, 105
- Single Root I/O Virtualization (SR-IOV), 19–20
- Smarter Planet model, 51, 54–56
- Software as a Service (SaaS), 41
- Solaris, 111
- solution, virtualization template and, 86, 87, 89, 92, 109–110, 137–147, 157–163, 170, 187–194, 200–208, 220
- Specialized Composite Application Manager in, 262
- spin-locks, 31
- SQL, 259
- staffing
  - non-value-added work/waste reduction and, 206
  - reducing incoming hardware/work and, 187
- standard operations following virtualization, 83–84, 215–230
  - applications and, 221, 223
  - backup and recovery and, 220
  - business services and, 222, 224
  - context in, 217
  - end-to-end services management and, 225–227
  - forces in, 219–220
  - Hardware Resource Management (HRM) program for, 223–224
  - IBM Service Management Solution (ISM) in, 224, 225–227, **225**, **226**
  - infrastructure and, 221
  - IT services, 221–222, 224
  - leveraging virtualization management tools for, 228–229, 229*t*
  - management challenges in, 219–220
  - name and value statement sections for, 216–217
  - objectives of, 216
  - pattern for, 216–229
  - problem statement for, 218
  - scope of, 225–227, **225**
  - security and, 220
  - selection guidance in, 219
  - servers and, 219–220, 223
  - service asset management in, 227
  - service management foundation in, 226
  - service monitoring in, 227

- service provisioning in, 227
- service quality management in, 227
- service request management in, 227
- solution for, 220
- storage management and, 220, 223
- system availability and, 220
- virtualization maturity adoption model in, 215, 221–229, **222**
- standardization
  - non-value-added work/waste reduction and, 201–202
  - reducing incoming hardware/work and, 187, 192–193
- steering committee, 138–140, **138**, 232
- storage, 20–21, 37–38, 263
  - architectural governance in, 143–146, **145**
  - capacity request tool for, 190
  - Network Attached Storage (NAS), 154
  - SAN Volume Controller (SVC) and, 37–38
  - Scale-out File System (SoFS) and, 37, 38
  - standard operations following virtualization and, 220, 223
  - Storage Area Networks (SANs) and, 154
  - VMware Virtual Machine File System (VMFS) and, 37, 38
- Storage Area Networks (SANs), 154
- Storage Process Manager in, 262
- Storage VMotion, 38
- strategic objectives (SOs), in segmenting complexity, 104
- successive transformation to virtualization, 62–63
- Sun Microsystems, 33, 34, 111
- supply/demand mismatch, performance management and, 160
- SUSE Linux Enterprise Server (SLES), 257, 259
- system availability, standard operations following virtualization and, 220
- system stack integration, 30–31
- System Storage Archive Manager, 263
- System x, 257, 260
- System z, 257
- System z Parallel Sysplex, 23

- System z Processor Resource/System Manager (PR/SM), 18
- Systems and Technology Group (STG), 49
- Systems Director Server, 259

## T

- team consolidation, 140–142, **141**
- tech support, cloud computing and, 43
- Technical Account Manager (TAM) program (VMware), 208
- technical factors in migration/transformation, 115
- technological advances, 6
- technology, architectural governance in, 143–146, **145**
- template for virtualization, 85–99
  - context statement in, 86
  - elements of, 86
  - examples, known uses in, 94
  - for flexible resource balancing, 166–179. *See also* flexible resource balancing
  - forces section in, 88
  - intellectual waste control in, 98
  - lean levers and, classifying patterns along, 94–99, **95**
  - motion waste control in, 97
  - name and value statement sections in, 87
  - for non-value-added work/waste reduction, 99, 198–208. *See also* non-value-added work/waste reduction
  - pattern specification in, 86–89
  - for pooled resources, 133–147. *See also* pooled resources
  - problem section in, 88
  - for redistributing activities, 99, 131–147. *See also* redistributing activities
  - for reducing incoming hardware/work, 182–194. *See also* reducing incoming hardware/work
  - results in, 93–94
  - rework control in, 97
  - sample of, 89–94, **93**
  - sample requestor-side cache as, sketch of, 92–94, **93**

- for segmenting complexity, 98. *See also* segmenting complexity, 98
  - selection guidance section in, 88
  - solution section in, 86
  - for standard operations following virtualization, 216–229. *See also* standard operations following virtualization
  - transportation waste control in, 97
  - waiting time control in, 97
  - waste control in, 97
  - templates, reusable, in non-value-added work/waste reduction, 203–204
  - Tivoli Access Manager, 263
  - Tivoli Application Dependency Discovery Manager (TADDM), 114, 261–262
  - Tivoli Asset Management, 263
  - Tivoli Business Service Manager, 261
  - Tivoli Compliance Insight Manager, 263
  - Tivoli Directory Server, 263
  - Tivoli Dynamic Workload Broker in, 262
  - Tivoli Federated Identity Manager, 263
  - Tivoli Identity Manager, 263
  - Tivoli Integration Composer, 263
  - Tivoli Intelligent Orchestrator in, 262
  - Tivoli Key Lifecycle Manager, 263
  - Tivoli License Compliance Manager, 263
  - Tivoli Monitoring and Composite Application Manager in, 262
  - Tivoli Monitoring for Energy Management, 263
  - Tivoli NetView, 263
  - Tivoli Network Manager, 263
  - Tivoli Performance Analyzer in, 262
  - Tivoli Provisioning Manager (TPM), 174–175, 262
  - Tivoli Risk Manager, 263
  - Tivoli Service Request Manager, 261
  - Tivoli Solutions for virtualization, 260–264, 264*t*
  - Tivoli Storage Manager, 263
  - Tivoli System Automation, 263
  - Tivoli System Automation for Multiplatforms, 262
  - Tivoli Usage and Accounting Manager (TUAM), 263
  - Tivoli Workload Scheduler, 262
  - Total Storage Productivity Center, 263
  - Toyota Production System (TPS) and lean transformation, 65–67
  - Toyota Way, The* (Liker), 65
  - transformation team, 233–234
  - transformations. *See* migration and transformation
  - transparency, 31
  - transportation waste control, 75–76, 97
  - Trusted Virtual Data Center (TVDC), 28–29, **28**
  - Trusted Virtualization Domain, 29
- ## U
- Unified Process Composer in, 262
  - Uninterruptible Power Supply (UPS), 56–57
  - Unix, server virtualization for, 34
- ## V
- value statement section, in virtualization template, 87, 90, 106–107, 133–134, 151–152, 166, 182, 199, 216–217
  - value streams in lean transformation and, 70–78
  - variability control, in lean transformation, 65
  - vendor relations, in reducing incoming hardware/work, 193
  - Veritas Cluster Server, 262
  - Verschueren, Paul, 86
  - Virtual I/O Servers (VIOS), 18, 259
  - virtual machines/virtual servers, 16. *See also* servers High Availability (HA) software and, 30
  - virtual resource objects, 15, 24–25
  - Virtual Server, 257
  - virtualization, 1–3, 11–14
    - aggregation and, 12, **13**
    - barriers to, 101–103
    - business case for, 241–255
    - challenges of, 26–31
    - common measurement systems and, 146–147
    - concept of, 11–12, **11**
    - cost vs. benefits of, 2–3
    - data centers and, 59–60
    - definition of, 2
    - emulation and, 12–13, **13**
    - encapsulation and, 13
    - factory model for, 109

- global context of, 3
- insulation and, 13, **13**
- Integrated Virtualization Manager (IVM) for, 171
- leadership in, 3
- organizational silos vs., 135, **135**
- resistance to change and, 136–137, 185–187
- sharing and, 12, **13**
- standard operations following, 215–230
- successive transformations culminating in, 62–63
- transformation deployment. *See* virtualization transformation deployment
- virtualization maturity adoption model in, 215, 221–229, **222**
- VMware study findings on, 208–213, **210, 211, 212, 213**
- virtualization transformation deployment, 231–240.
  - See also* green data centers and virtualization
  - Big Bang implementation approach in, 238–240, **238**
  - center of excellence (CoE) in, 232–233
  - change agents in, 234
  - diagnostic phase in, 236–237
  - executive steering committee in, 232
  - future-state design (FSD) phase in, 237
  - implementation phase in, 237–240, **238**
  - Lift-and-Load implementation approach in, **238**, 239
  - planning phase for, 231–235
  - Prove-and-Grow implementation approach in, 238–240, **238**
  - Refresh-and-Build implementation approach in, **238**, 239
  - transformation team for, 233–234
  - wave plan for, 234–235, **235**
- virtualization maturity adoption model, 215, 221–229, **222**
- Virtualization Technology (VT-x), 33
- VM/370, 32
- VM/ESA, 32
- VM/XA, 32, 33–34
- VMotion, 38, 171, 172, **173**, 224
- VMware, 32, 35, 37, 171, 172, 175, 178–179, 224

- VMware Distributed Resource Scheduler (DRS), 161
- VMware ESX, 257
- VMware Virtual Machine File System (VMFS), 37, 38
- VMware virtualization management toolset, 265–266, **266**
- VMware Virtualization study, 208–213, **210, 211, 212, 213**
  - low-value, day-to-day activities and, 212–213, **213**
  - methodology used in, 208–209
  - one-time task acceleration in, 209–211, **210, 211**
  - operational efficiency and, 209
  - organizational structure in, 209
- volume of data generated for/by IT, 5, 46
- vSphere, 35
- VT-x, 33

## W

- waiting time control, 74–75, 97
- waste control, 65, 72–78, **73**, 97
- wave plan, 234–235, **235**
- Web services, 262
- WebSphere, 21, 41, 262
- WebSphere Application Server, 94
- WebSphere Application Server Hypervisor Edition, 37
- WebSphere Cloudburst, 36–37
- WebSphere Extended Deployment, 94
- Windows, 32, 111, 257, 259
  - server utilization of, 8
  - Xen hypervisor and, 36
- Windows Server, 33
- Wolf, Bobby, 86
- workload management
  - dynamic workload mobility in, 170–173, **171, 172, 173**
  - Enterprise Workload Manager for, 262
  - graphing usage to guide, in pooled resources, 153–156, **153**
  - Hyper-V Live Migration by Microsoft in, 171
  - IBM Global Account (IGA) example of, 49–50, 49*t*



- 
- Integrated Virtualization Manager (IVM) for, 171
  - Live Partition Mobility by IBM in, 171
  - low-value, day-to-day activities and, 212–213, **213**
  - non-value-added work/waste reduction and, 204
  - one-time task acceleration in, 209–211, **210, 211**
  - Tivoli Dynamic Workload Broker in, 262
  - Tivoli Workload Scheduler, 262
  - VMotion by VMware in, 171, 172, **173**
  - Workload Partitions (WPARs) and, 21
  - Workload Scheduler for Applications, 262
  - Workload Scheduler for Virtualized Data Centers, 262
  - Workload Partitions (WPARs), 21
  - Workload Scheduler for Applications, 262
  - Workload Scheduler for Virtualized Data Centers, 262
- X**
- x86 server virtualization, 35–36
  - Xen hypervisor, 32, 33, 36, 257
  - Xsigo Systems technology, 176, **177, 206**
- Y**
- Yahoo!, 43
- Z**
- z/OS, 263
  - z/VM, 33, 34, 257
  - z/VMM, 32
  - zSecure, 263